

③ Liouville's Theorem

Goal: Understand the possible elementary extensions \bar{E} that may be needed to integrate some $f \in K$ when K is a Liouvillian field.

Recall: If $K = C(x)$ and $D = \frac{d}{dx}$ then there is always an elementary integral of the form

$$g + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \log v_i$$

for some $g \in C(x)$, $\gamma_i \in \bar{C}$, $v_i \in \bar{C}(x)$.

Liouville's theorem says essentially that an $f \in K$ either has an elementary integral of the form

$$g + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \log v_i$$

for some $g \in K$, $\gamma_i \in \text{Const } K$, $v_i \in K$
(assuming $\text{Const } K = \overline{\text{Const } K}$ here for simplicity)

or no elementary integral at all.

Recall: K is called a Liouvillean field if
 $K = C(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where each x_i is Liouvillean
 over $C(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$ and $\text{Const } K = C$.

Note: The requirement $\text{Const } K = C$ is a
 nontrivial condition, because a naive
 construction may induce unwanted
 "false constants".

Ex:

(1) $K = C(x_1, \dots, x_4)$ with $x_1 \dots x_4$ transcendental
 (i.e. algebraically independent) over C .

$$D(c) = c \quad (c \in C)$$

$$D(x_1) = 1 \quad ("x_1 = t")$$

$$D(x_2) = \frac{1}{x_1 + 1} \quad ("x_2 = \log(t+1)")$$

$$D(x_3) = \frac{1}{x_1 - 1} \quad ("x_3 = \log(t-1)")$$

$$D(x_4) = \frac{2x_1}{x_1^2 + 1} \quad ("x_4 = \log(t^2 - 1)")$$

Clearly $C \subseteq \text{Const } K$ by construction.
 But the inclusion is proper!

Consider

$$f = x_2 + x_3 - x_4$$

Then

$$D(f) = \frac{1}{x_1+1} + \frac{1}{x_1-1} - \frac{2x_1}{x_1^2-1} = 0,$$

so $f \in \text{Const } K$ although $f \notin C$.

(2) $K = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with x_1, x_2, x_3 alg.
indep over \mathbb{C} .

$$D(c) = 0 \quad (c \in \mathbb{C})$$

$$D(x_1) = 1 \quad ("x_1 = t")$$

$$D(x_2) = \frac{1}{x_1} \quad ("x_2 = \log t")$$

$$D(x_3) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x_1} x_3 \quad ("x_3 = e^{\frac{1}{2} \log t} = \sqrt{t}")$$

Then $f = \frac{x_3^2}{x_1} \in \text{Const } K \setminus C$, because

$$D(f) = \frac{2x_3 D(x_3)x_1 - x_3^2 1}{x_1^2}$$

$$= \frac{2x_3^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x_1} x_3 - x_3^2}{x_1^2} = 0.$$

However, $g = x_3^2 - x_1 \notin \text{Const } K$:

$$D(g) = 2x_3^2 \frac{1}{2x_1} - 1 = \frac{x_3^2 - x_1}{x_1} \neq 0.$$

If such strange constants appear, it means that the field K was not constructed in the right way. For transcendental fields, it is assumed by definition that this situation does not happen.

Main idea behind Liouville's theorem:
if $f \in K$ and $g \in E$ is such that $f = D(g)$
then all parts of g which do not belong
to K must disappear in the differentiation.
Most extensions do not have this feature.

Lemma¹ Let K be a differential field
and $E = K(x)$ be a hyperexponential
transcendental extension of K with
const $K = \text{const } E$, say $D(x) = u \cdot x$ for some
 $u \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Let $g \in E \setminus K$.

Then $D(g) \in E \setminus K$.

Proof. First assume that $g \in K(x)$ with $\deg g > 0$, say

$$g = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_d x^d$$

for some $d > 0$ and $g_d \neq 0$. Then

$$D(g) = D_0(g) + \frac{d}{dx}(g) \cdot u \cdot x.$$

If $D(g) \in K$, then the coefficient of x^d in the latter expression must be zero:

$$D(g_d) - du g_d = 0$$

$$D(g_d) = du g_d.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\frac{g_d}{x^d}\right) &= \frac{D(g_d)x^d - g_d d x^{d-1} D(x)}{x^{2d}} \\ &= \frac{du g_d x^d - du g_d x^d}{x^{2d}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $0 \neq \frac{g_d}{x^d} \in \text{Const } E = \text{Const } K \subseteq K$, which is in conflict with the transcendence of x .

If $g \in K(x) \setminus K[x]$, then we can const ℓ

$$g = p + \frac{ax}{b}$$

for some $p, a, b \in K[x]$ with $a \neq 0$, $\deg b < \deg a$.
and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$

Then

$$D(g) = D(p) + \frac{D(ax)b - aD(b)}{b^2}$$

This can only belong to K if $D(ax)b - aD(b) = 0$,

because $\deg(D(ax)b - aD(b)) \leq \deg a + \deg b < 2\deg b$.

But then $\frac{a}{b} \in \text{const } E = \text{const } K \subseteq K$. This is
not possible. \square

Lemma 2 Let K be a differential field,
 $E = K(x)$ with x primitive transcendental
over K , say $D(x) = u \in K$, and $\text{const } E = \text{const } K$.

Let $g \in E$ be such that $D(g) \in K$.

Then $g = cx + v$ for some $c \in \text{const } E$

and $v \in K$.

Proof: Let $g \in K[x]$ with $n = \deg g > 0$, say

$$g = g_0 + g_1 x + g_2 x^2 + \dots + g_n x^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(g) = & D(g_n)x^n + (D(g_{n-1}) + n g_n u)x^{n-1} \\ & + (D(g_{n-2}) + (n-1)g_{n-1}u)x^{n-2} \\ & + \dots \end{aligned}$$

If $n > 0$ and $g_n \notin \text{Const } K$, then $D(g) \notin K$.

If $n > 1$ and $g_n \in \text{Const } K$, then for $D(g) \in K$

we would need

$$D(g_{n-1}) + n g_n u = 0$$

But then

$$\underbrace{D(g_{n-1} + n g_n x)}_{\in E \setminus K} = 0$$

in contradiction to $\text{Const } K = \text{Const } E$.

Therefore $D(g)$ is only possible if $n \leq 1$ and $g_1 \in \text{Const } K$.

For $g = p + \frac{a}{x} \in K(x) \setminus K[x]$, use the same argument as $\#$. In Lemma 1. \blacksquare

Lemma 3 Let K be a differential field and $E = K(x)$ with x algebraic over K . Let $f \in K$. If there exists $g \in E$ with $D(g) = f$ then there also exists $g \in K$ with $D(g) = f$.

Proof. First note that the action of D on x is uniquely determined by the action of D on K . For, if $P \in K[X]$ is the induced polynomial of x , i.e. $P(x) = 0$, then, as $0 \in \text{Const}K$, $D(P(x)) = 0$ too, so

$$0 = D(P(x)) = \delta_0(P) + \underbrace{\frac{d}{dx}(P)}_{\neq 0} \cdot D(x)$$

$$\text{so } D(x) = - \frac{\delta_0(P)(x)}{\frac{d}{dx}(P)(x)}. \quad \text{Therefore, if } \bar{x} \in \overline{K}$$

is some conjugate of x , then necessarily

$$D(\bar{x}) = - \frac{\delta_0(P)(\bar{x})}{\frac{d}{dx}(P)(\bar{x})}. \quad \text{It follows that when}$$

$g \in E$ is such that $D(g) = f \in K$, then

$$\text{Tr}(f) = \cancel{\text{Tr}}(D(g))$$

$$\stackrel{\parallel}{f} \cdot \stackrel{\parallel}{D}(\underbrace{\text{Tr}(g)}_{\in K}).$$

□

In full generality:

Thm 5. (Wronski) Let K be a differential field with algebraically closed constant field C , and let $f \in K$. Then f is elementary integrable if and only if

$$f = D(g) + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \frac{D(v_i)}{v_i}$$

for some $g \in K$, $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n \in C$, $v_1 \dots v_n \in K$.