

# Unification

Unification algorithm

—

the heart  
of the computation model  
of logic programs

# Substitution

Finite set of the form

$$\theta = \{v_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto t_n\}$$

$v_i$ -s

Distinct variables

$t_i$ -s

Terms with  $t_i \neq v_i$

Binding

$$v_i \mapsto t_i$$

# *Application of Substitution*

Substitution

$$\theta = \{v_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto t_n\}$$

Applied to an expression  $E$

$$E\theta$$

(Instance of  $E$  wrt  $\theta$ )

Simultaneously replacing

each occurrence

of  $v_i$  in  $E$

with  $t_i$

## Example (Application)

$$E = p(x, y, f(a))$$

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto b, y \mapsto x\}$$

$$E\theta = p(b, x, f(a))$$

Note that  $x$  not substituted second time

# Composition

$$\theta = \{v_1 \mapsto t_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto t_n\}$$

$$\sigma = \{u_1 \mapsto s_1, \dots, u_m \mapsto s_m\}$$

Composition

$$\theta\sigma$$

Obtained from the set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_1 \mapsto t_1\sigma, \dots, v_n \mapsto t_n\sigma, \\ u_1 \mapsto s_1, \dots, u_m \mapsto s_m \end{array} \right\}$$

By deleting

all  $u_i \mapsto s_i$ -s with  $u_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ ,

all  $v_i \mapsto t_i\sigma$ -s with  $v_i = t_i\sigma$ .

## Example (Composition)

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto f(y), y \mapsto z\}$$

$$\sigma = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b, z \mapsto y\}$$

$$\theta\sigma = \{x \mapsto f(b), z \mapsto y\}$$

# Empty Substitution

Empty substitution

$\varepsilon$

The substitution is the empty set

For all expressions  $E$

$$E\varepsilon = E$$

# Properties

$$\theta\varepsilon = \varepsilon\theta = \theta$$

$$(E\theta)\sigma = E(\theta\sigma)$$

$$(\theta\sigma)\lambda = \theta(\sigma\lambda)$$

## Example (Properties)

Given

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto f(y), y \mapsto z\}$$

$$\sigma = \{x \mapsto a, z \mapsto b\}$$

$$E = p(x, y, g(z))$$

Then

$$\theta\sigma = \{x \mapsto f(y), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto b\}$$

$$E\theta = p(f(y), z, g(z))$$

$$(E\theta)\sigma = p(f(y), b, g(b))$$

$$E(\theta\sigma) = p(f(y), b, g(b))$$

## *Renaming Substitution*

$$\theta = \{x_1 \mapsto y_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto y_n\}$$

is a renaming substitution

iff

$y_i$ -s are distinct variables.

## *Renaming an Expression*

$V$  – the set of variables of the expression  $E$

$$\theta = \{x_1 \mapsto y_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto y_n\}$$

is a renaming substitution for  $E$

iff

$\theta$  is a renaming substitution and

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq V$$

$$(V \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} = \emptyset$$

# Variants

Expression  $E$

and

expression  $F$

are variants

iff

there exist substitutions  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$

such that

$$E\theta = F$$

and

$$F\sigma = E$$

## Variants and Renaming

$E$  and  $F$  are variants

iff

there exist *renaming* substitutions  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$

such that

$$E\theta = F$$

and

$$F\sigma = E$$

## More General

A substitution  $\theta$   
is more general  
than a substitution  $\sigma$   
iff  
there exists a substitution  $\lambda$   
such that  
$$\sigma = \theta\lambda$$

## Example (More General)

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto y, u \mapsto f(y, z)\}$$

is more general than

$$\sigma = \{x \mapsto z, y \mapsto z, u \mapsto f(z, z)\}$$

because

$$\sigma = \theta\lambda$$

where  $\lambda = \{y \mapsto z\}$

# Unifier

Substitution  $\theta$

is a *unifier*

of expressions  $E$  and  $F$

iff

$$E\theta = F\theta$$

## Example (Unifier)

$$\theta = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto u\}$$

is a unifier of

$E = f(x, b, g(z))$  and  $F = f(f(y), y, g(u))$ :

$$E\theta = f(f(b), b, g(u))$$

$$F\theta = f(f(b), b, g(u))$$

## Unifier (Contd.)

$\sigma$  is a unifier

of a set of expression pairs

$$\{\langle E_1, F_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle E_n, F_n \rangle\}$$

iff

$\sigma$  is a unifier of

$E_i$  and  $F_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

i.e., iff

$$E_1\sigma = F_1\sigma$$

...

$$E_n\sigma = F_n\sigma$$

# *Most General Unifier (mgu)*

A unifier  $\theta$  of  $E$  and  $F$

is most general

iff

$\theta$  is more general

than any other unifier of  $E$  and  $F$

## Example (*mgu*)

$$E = f(x, b, g(z)) \quad F = f(f(y), y, g(u))$$

Unifiers of  $E$  and  $F$

(infinitely many):

$$\theta_1 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto u\}$$

$$\theta_2 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, u \mapsto z\}$$

$$\theta_3 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto a, u \mapsto a\}$$

$$\theta_4 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto b, u \mapsto b\}$$

$$\theta_5 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto u, w \mapsto d\}$$

...

But

## Example (*mgu*, Contd.)

*mgu*-s of  $E$  and  $F$ :

$$\theta_1 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, z \mapsto u\}$$

$$\theta_2 = \{x \mapsto f(b), y \mapsto b, u \mapsto z\}$$

$\theta_1$  is more general than  $\theta_2$ :

$$\theta_2 = \theta_1 \lambda_1 \text{ with } \lambda_1 = \{u \mapsto z\}$$

and

$\theta_2$  is more general than  $\theta_1$ :

$$\theta_1 = \theta_2 \lambda_2 \text{ with } \lambda_2 = \{z \mapsto u\}$$

Note

$\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are renaming substitutions

## Equivalence of mgu-s

Most general unifier of two expressions

is unique

*up to variable renaming*

# Unification Algorithm

Rule-based approach

General form of rules:

$$P; \sigma \implies Q; \theta$$

or

$$P; \sigma \implies \perp$$

where

$\perp$  denotes failure

$\sigma$  and  $\theta$  are substitutions

$P$  and  $Q$  are sets of expression pairs:

$$\{\langle E_1, F_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle E_n, F_n \rangle\}$$

# Unification Rules

**Trivial:**

$$\{\langle s, s \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies P'; \sigma$$

**Decomposition:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\langle f(s_1, \dots, s_n), f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies \\ & \quad \{\langle s_1, t_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle s_n, t_n \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \end{aligned}$$

**Symbol Clash:**

$$\{\langle f(s_1, \dots, s_n), g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies \perp$$

if  $f \neq g$ .

# Unification Rules (Contd.)

**Orient:**

$$\{\langle t, x \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies \{\langle x, t \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma$$

if  $t$  is not a variable.

**Occurs Check:**

$$\{\langle x, t \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies \perp$$

if  $x$  occurs in  $t$  and  $x \neq t$ .

**Variable Elimination:**

$$\{\langle x, t \rangle\} \cup P'; \sigma \implies P'\theta; \sigma\theta$$

if  $x$  does not occur in  $t$ , and  $\theta = \{x \mapsto t\}$ .

# Unification Algorithm

In order to unify  $s$  and  $t$

Create initial system  $\{\langle s, t \rangle\}; \varepsilon$

Apply successively unification rules.

# Termination

The unification algorithm

terminates

either with  $\perp$

or with  $\emptyset; \sigma$

# Soundness

If

$$P; \varepsilon \xrightarrow{+} \emptyset; \sigma$$

then

$\sigma$  is a unifier of  $P$ .

# Completeness

For any unifier  $\theta$  of  $P$

the unification algorithm

finds a unifier  $\sigma$  of  $P$

such that  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\theta$

# Major Result

If two expressions are unifiable  
unification algorithm  
computes their mgu

## Example 1

Unify  $p(f(a), g(x))$  and  $p(y, y)$ .

$$\{\langle p(f(a), g(x)), p(y, y) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{Dec}}$$

$$\{\langle f(a), y \rangle, \langle g(x), y \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{Or}}$$

$$\{\langle y, f(a) \rangle, \langle g(x), y \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{VarEl}}$$

$$\{\langle g(x), f(a) \rangle\}; \{x \mapsto f(a)\} \implies_{\text{SymCl}}$$

$\perp$

Not unifiable

## Example 2

Unify  $p(a, x, h(g(z)))$  and  $p(z, h(y), h(y))$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{\langle p(a, x, h(g(z))), p(z, h(y), h(y)) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{Dec}} \\
 & \{\langle a, z \rangle, \langle x, h(y) \rangle, \langle h(g(z)), h(y) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{Or}} \\
 & \{\langle z, a \rangle, \langle x, h(y) \rangle, \langle h(g(z)), h(y) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{VarEl}} \\
 & \{\langle x, h(y) \rangle, \langle h(g(a)), h(y) \rangle\}; \{z \mapsto a\} \implies_{\text{VarEl}} \\
 & \{\langle h(g(a)), h(y) \rangle\}; \{z \mapsto a, x \mapsto h(y)\} \implies_{\text{Dec}} \\
 & \{\langle g(a), y \rangle\}; \{z \mapsto a, x \mapsto h(y)\} \implies_{\text{Or}} \\
 & \{\langle y, g(a) \rangle\}; \{z \mapsto a, x \mapsto h(y)\} \implies_{\text{VarEl}} \\
 & \emptyset; \{z \mapsto a, x \mapsto h(g(a)), y \mapsto g(a)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Answer:  $\{z \mapsto a, x \mapsto h(g(a)), y \mapsto g(a)\}$

## Example 3

Unify  $p(x, x)$  and  $p(y, f(y))$ .

$\{\langle p(x, x), p(y, f(y)) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{Dec}}$

$\{\langle x, y \rangle, \langle x, f(y) \rangle\}; \varepsilon \implies_{\text{VarEl}}$

$\{\langle y, h(y) \rangle\}; \{x \mapsto y\} \implies_{\text{OccCh}}$

$\perp$

Not unifiable

## *Example 3 on Prolog*

```
?- p(X,X)=p(Y,f(Y)).
```

X = f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(....))))))))

```
Y = f(f(f(f(f(f(f(f(....))))))))
```

Yes

# Occurrence Check

Prolog unification algorithm skips

Occurrence Check

Reason:

Occurrence Check can be expensive

Justification:

Most of the time this rule is not needed

Drawback:

Sometimes might lead to incorrect answers

## Example

```
less(X,s(X)).  
foo:-less(s(Y),Y).  
  
?- foo.
```

Yes