# Logic Programming

Efficiency Issues

### Temur Kutsia

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria kutsia@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

# Efficiency Issues in Prolog

- Narrow the Search
- Let Unification do the Work
- Avoid assert and retract
- Understand Tokenization
- Avoid String Processing
- Recognize Tail Recursion
- Let Indexing Help
- Use Accumulators
- Use Difference Lists

Efficient programs must search efficiently.

#### Example

Knowledge base contains 1000 grey objects and 10 horses.

?- horse(X), grey(X).

is 100 times as fast as

```
?- grey(X), horse(X).
```

Narrow the search space as early as possible.

< 🗇 > < 🖻 >

Determine whether two lists are equal as sets.

Bad solution: set\_equal(L1,L2) :- permute(L1,L2).

N element list has N! permutations.

Testing set-equality of 20-element list can require  $2.4 \times 10^{18}$  comparisons.

Better solution: set\_equal(L1,L2) :- sort(L1,L3), sort(L2,L3).

*N*-element list can be sorted in  $N \log N$  steps. Faster that the first solution by a factor of more that  $10^{16}$ .

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Write a predicate that accepts a list and succeeds if the list has three elements.

Bad solution: had\_three\_elements(L):-length(L,N),N=3.

Slightly better solution: had\_three\_elements(L):-length(L,3).

Good solution: had\_three\_elements([\_,\_,\_]).

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

э.

Write a predicate that accepts a list and generates from it a similar list with the first two elements swapped.

```
Good solution:
swap_first_two([A,B|Rest],[B,A|Rest]).
```

The data structures [A,B|Rest] and [B,A|Rest], or templates for them, are created when the program is compiled, and unification gives values to the variables at run time. Reasons:

- assert and retract are relatively slow and they lead to a messy logic.
- In most implementation the dynamic predicates can not run in a full compiled speed.
- The effect of assert and retract can not be undone by backtracking.
- Programs get hard to debug.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Legitimate Uses:

- To record new knowledge in the knowledge base.
- To store the intermediate results of a computation that must backtrack past the point at which it gets its result. (Think about using setof or bagof instead. It might be faster.)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Fundamental unit: Term (numbers, atoms, structures).

- Numbers are stored in fixed-point or floating-point binary.
- Atoms are stored in a symbol table in which each atom occurs only once.
- Atoms in the program are replaced by their addresses in the symbol table (tokenization).

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

# **Understand Tokenization**

#### Because of tokenization the structure

- f('What a long atom this seems to be',
   'What a long atom this seems to be',
   'What a long atom this seems to be')
  is more compact than
  g(aaaaa,bbbbb,ccccc).
- To compare two atoms, even long ones, the computer needs only compare their addresses.
- By contrast, comparing lists or structures requires every element to be examined individually.

# Strings:

- Lists of numbers representing ASCII codes of characters.
- abc an atom.
- "abc" a list [97, 98, 99].
- Strings are designed to be easily taken apart.
- Their only proper use is in situations where access to the individual characters is essential.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Recursion:

- Can be inefficient.
- Each procedure call requires information to be saved so that control can return to the calling procedure.
- If a clause calls itself 1000 times, there will be 1000 copies of its stack frame in memory.

Exception:

- Tail Recursion.
- Control need not return to the calling procedure because there is nothing more for it to do.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Tail recursion exists when:

- The recursive call is the last subgoal in the clause, and
- There are no untried alternative clauses, and
- There are no untried alternatives for any subgoal preceding the recursive call in the same clause.

```
This predicate is tail recursive.
```

```
test1 :- write(hello), nl, test1.
```

#### Example

This predicate is not tail recursive because the recursive call is not last.

```
test2 :- test2, write(hello), nl.
```

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

This predicate is **not** tail recursive because it has an untried alternative.

```
test3:- write(hello), nl, test3.
test3:- write(goodbye).
```

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

This predicate is **not** tail recursive because a subgoal has an untried alternative.

test4:- g, write(hello), nl, test4.
g:- write(starting).
g:- write(beginning).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

To match the query

?- f(a,b).

PROLOG does not look at all the clauses in the knowledge base.

It looks only the clauses for f.

Indexing.

くロト (過) (目) (日)

Implementation dependent.

- Many implementations index not only the predicate symbol but also the main functor of the first argument
- First-argument indexing.
- For ?- f(a,b).

The search considers only clauses that match f(a, ...) and neglects clauses such as f(b, c).

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Consequences of (first-argument) indexing. Argument order:

- The first argument should be the one most likely to be known at search time, and
- Preferably the most diverse.
- Better to have

```
f(a,x).
f(b,x).
f(c,x).
than
f(x,a).
f(x,b).
f(x,c).
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Consequences of (first-argument) indexing.

Indexing can make a predicate tail recursive when it otherwise would not be.

#### Example

```
p(f(A,B)) :- p(A).
p(a).
```

is tail-recursive because indexing eliminates p(a) from consideration.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう