1. 'Rewriting', a basic CAS technique. This technique is used in simplification, equation solving, and many other CAS functions, and it is intuitively comprehensible. This would make rewriting useful for educational systems — if one copes with the problem, that even elementary simplifications involve hundreds of rewrites. As an example see

http://www.ist.tugraz.at/projects/isac/www/content/publications.html#DA-M02-main

- 2. 'Reverse rewriting' for comprehensible justification. Man CAS functions can *not* be done by rewriting, for instance cancelling multivariate polynomials, factoring or integration. However, respective inverse problems can be done by rewriting and produce human readable derivations. As an example see http://www.ist.tugraz.at/projects/isac/www/content/publications.html#GGTs-von-Polynomen
- 3. Equation solving made transparent. Re-engineering equation solvers in 'transparent single-stepping systems' leads to types of equations, arranged in a tree.  $\mathcal{ISAC}$ 's tree of equations are to be compared with what is produced by tracing facilities of Mathematica and/or Maple. How could  $\mathcal{ISAC}$ 's equation solver be extended ? See

http://www.ist.tugraz.at/projects/isac/www/content/publications.html#da-mlang

- 4. *ISAC*, a transparent single-stepping system. What distinguishes *ISAC* from a CAS ? What are the advantages of a system based on a computer theorem prover (CTP) ? What novel kinds of services can such a system provide for education ? See https://lsiit-cnrs.unistra.fr/DG-Proofs-Construction/index.php/ISAC\_system and http://www.ist.tugraz.at/projects/isac
- 5. CAS functionality adopted by CTP. There are good reasons for warning 'never trust a CAS'. Computer theorem provers (CTP), however, allow users to trust the correctness of their results. Now, since more and more CAS functionality is taken over by CTP how can such trust be ensured ? See http://www.score.cs.tsukuba.ac.jp/~kaliszyk/docs/ck\_thesis\_webdoc.pdf