Information Systems

Relational Databases

Nikolaj Popov

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation
Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria
popov@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

Integrity Constraints

- Integrity Constraint: A boolean expression that is associated with some database and is required to evaluate at all times to TRUE.

Integrity Constraints. Examples

Suppliers-and-parts database satisfies the constraints:

- Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.
- Every supplier in London has status 20.
- If there are any parts at all, at least one of them is blue.
- No two distinct suppliers have the same supplier number.
- etc.

All examples today from suppliers-and-parts database.

Integrity Constraints

- Constraints must be formally declared to the DBMS and DBMS must enforce them.
- Declaring constraints is a matter of using relevant features of the database language.
- Enforcing them is a matter of the DBMS monitoring updates that might violate the constraints and rejecting those that do.

Example

To enforce the constraint *Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive*, the DBMS will have to monitor all operations that attempt

- to insert a new supplier, or
- change an existing supplier's status.

Integrity Constraints

- Overall “shape” of integrity constraints:

  IF
  a certain tuple appears in certain relvars,
  THEN
  that tuple satisfies a certain condition.

Classification of Constraints

- Type constraint: A definition of the set of values that constitute a given type.
- Attribute constraint: Constrains values a given attribute is permitted to assume.
- Relvar constraint: Constrains values a given relvar is permitted to assume.
- Database constraint: Constrains values a given database is permitted to assume.

Type Constraints

- Definition of the set of values that constitute a given type.
- Example:
  TYPE WEIGHT POSSREP { D DECIMAL (5,1) }
  CONSTRAINT D > 0.0 AND D < 5000.0
- Meaning:
  Legal values of type WEIGHT are precisely those
  - that can possibly be represented by decimal numbers of five digits precision with one digit after the decimal point,
  - where the decimal number in question is greater than zero and less than 5000.
- Type constraints are thought of being checked during the execution of some selector invocation.
- WEIGHT (7500.0) will raise an exception at run time (value out of range).

Attribute Constraints

- Declaration to the effect that a specified attribute of a specified relvar is of a specified type.
- Example:

```
VAR S BASE RELATION
  {   S#        S#
      SNAME     NAME
      STATUS    INTEGER
      CITY      CHAR } . . . ;
```

- Part of the attribute definition itself, can be identified by the corresponding attribute name.

Relvar Constraints

- Constrain possible values of a given relvar.
- Example:
  - Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.
- For all supplier numbers *s#*, all names *sn*, all integers *st* and all character strings *sc*:
  - IF a tuple with S# *s#*, SNAME *sn*, STATUS *st*, and CITY *sc* appears in the suppliers relvar S,
  - THEN *st* is greater than or equal to 1 and less than or equal to 100.
- Constraint for S.

Relvar Constraints

- Any given relvar can be subject to many constraints.
- Example:
  - Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.
  - No two distict suppliers have the same supplier number.
- **The** relvar constraint: Conjunction of all constraints for the relvar.
- Golden Rule:
  - No update operation must ever assign to any relvar R a value that causes the constraint for R to evaluate to FALSE.

Database Constraints

- Database constraint: Conjunction of all the relvar constraints for all relvars contained in the database.
- Golden Rule:
  - No update operation must ever assign to any database a value that causes ever the database constraint to evaluate to FALSE.

Integrity and Views

- Constrained relvars can be both base relvars and views.
- If a view R_V is derived from a base relvar R_B, then a constraint for R_V can be derived from the corresponding constraint for R_B just as R_V is derived from R_B.

Integrity and Views

Example

Let SST be a view obtained by projecting S over S#, SNAME, and STATUS:

S

S#	SNAME	ST	CITY
S1	Smith	20	London
S2	Jones	10	Paris
S3	Blake	30	Paris
S4	Clark	20	London
S5	Adams	30	Athens

SST

S#	SNAME	ST
S1	Smith	20
S2	Jones	10
S3	Blake	30
S4	Clark	20
S5	Adams	30

- Constraint: Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.

Integrity and Views

Example

Let SST be a view obtained by projecting S over S#, SNAME, and STATUS:

S

S#	SNAME	ST	CITY
S1	Smith	20	London
S2	Jones	10	Paris
S3	Blake	30	Paris
S4	Clark	20	London
S5	Adams	30	Athens

SST

S#	SNAME	ST
S1	Smith	20
S2	Jones	10
S3	Blake	30
S4	Clark	20
S5	Adams	30

- Constraint: Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.
- For S: For all supplier numbers *s#*, all names *sn*, all integers *st* and all character strings *sc*:
  - IF a tuple with S# *s#*, SNAME *sn*, STATUS *st*, and CITY *sc* appears in the relvar S, THEN $1 \leq st \leq 100$.

Integrity and Views

Example

Let SST be a view obtained by projecting S over S#, SNAME, and STATUS:

S

S#	SNAME	ST	CITY
S1	Smith	20	London
S2	Jones	10	Paris
S3	Blake	30	Paris
S4	Clark	20	London
S5	Adams	30	Athens

SST

S#	SNAME	ST
S1	Smith	20
S2	Jones	10
S3	Blake	30
S4	Clark	20
S5	Adams	30

- Constraint: Every supplier status value is in the range 1 to 100 inclusive.
- For SST: For all supplier numbers *s#*, all names *sn*, and all integers *st*:
  - IF a tuple with S# *s#*, SNAME *sn*, STATUS *st*, appears in the relvar SST, THEN *st* $1 \leq st \leq 100$.

Keys

Candidate Key

- Let K be a set of attributes of relvar R. Then K is a candidate key for R iff it has both of the following properties:
  - Uniqueness: No legal value of R ever contains two distinct tuples with the same value for K.
  - Irreducibility: No proper subset of K has the uniqueness property.
- Every relvar has at least one candidate key.
- candidate keys do not include any attributes that are irrelevant for unique identification purposes.

Keys

Example

Examples of Candidate Keys.

- VAR S BASE RELATION
  { S# S#
  SNAME NAME
  STATUS INTEGER
  CITY CHAR }
  KEY { S# }
  Simple candidate key.
- VAR SP BASE RELATION
  { S# S#
  P# P#
  QTY QTY }
  KEY { S#, P# }
  Composite candidate key.

Keys

Example

Examples of Candidate Keys.

- Several candidate keys are possible

  VAR MARRIAGE BASE RELATION
  { HUSBAND NAME
  WIFE NAME
  DATE DATE }
  KEY { HUSBAND, DATE }
  KEY { DATE, WIFE }
  but not
  KEY { WIFE, HUSBAND }
  KEY { WIFE, HUSBAND, DATE }

Keys

- A candidate key definition is a shorthand for a certain relvar constraint.

Example

- { S# } is a candidate key.
- Corresponding constraint: No two distinct suppliers have the same supply number.
- A bit more formally:
  For all supplier numbers *x#* and *y#*, all names *xn* and *yn*, all integers *xt* and *yt*, and all character strings *xc* and *yc*:
  - IF tuples with
    S# *x#*, SNAME *xn*, STATUS *xt*, CITY *xc* and
    S# *y#*, SNAME *yn*, STATUS *yt*, CITY *yc*
    appear in the suppliers relvar S,
  - THEN IF *x#*= *y#*
    THEN $xn = yn$, $xt = yt$, and $xc = yc$.

Keys

- A given relvar can have two or more candidate keys.
- Exactly one of those keys (at least for base relvars) are chosen as the primary key.
- The others are called alternate keys.

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.
- Points:
  - Every value of FK must appear as a value of CK, the converse is not necessary.

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.
- Points:
  - Every value of FK must appear as a value of CK, the converse is not necessary.
  - FK is simple or composite according as CK is simple or composite.

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.
- Points:
  - Every value of FK must appear as a value of CK, the converse is not necessary.
  - FK is simple or composite according as CK is simple or composite.
  - An FK value represents a reference to the tuple containing the matching CK value (the referenced tuple).

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.
- Points:
  - Every value of FK must appear as a value of CK, the converse is not necessary.
  - FK is simple or composite according as CK is simple or composite.
  - An FK value represents a reference to the tuple containing the matching CK value (the referenced tuple).
  - The constraint that values of FK must match the values of CK is known as referential constraint.

Keys

- A foreign key in a relvar R_2 is a set of attributes of R_2, say FK, such that:
  - There exists a relvar R_1 (R_1 and R_2 not necessarily distinct) with a candidate key CK.
  - Each value of FK (or a renamed copy of FK) in the current value of R_2 is identical to the value of CK in some tuple in the current value of R_1.
- Points:
  - Every value of FK must appear as a value of CK, the converse is not necessary.
  - FK is simple or composite according as CK is simple or composite.
  - An FK value represents a reference to the tuple containing the matching CK value (the referenced tuple).
  - The constraint that values of FK must match the values of CK is known as referential constraint.
  - R_2 is the referencing relvar and R_1 is a referenced relvar.

Keys

- Referential constraints in the suppliers-and-parts database can be represented by means of the referential diagram: $\mathrm{S} \leftarrow_{\mathrm{S\#}} \mathrm{SP} \rightarrow_{\mathrm{P\#}} P$.
- A given relvar can be both referenced and referencing: $R_n \rightarrow R_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_1$.
  Referential chain from R_n to R_1.

Keys

- The relational model includes the following rule:

  Referential Integrity: The database must not contain any unmatched foreign key values.

Keys

- A foreign key definition is a shorthand for a certain relvar constraint:

Example

- { S# } is a foreign key for shipments.
- Constraint: Every shipment involves an existing supplier (supplier-and-parts db).
- A bit more formally:
  For all supplier number *s#*, all part number *p#*, and all integers *q*:
  - IF a tuple with S# *s#*, P# *p#*, QTY *q* appears in the shipments relvar SP,
  - THEN there exists a name *sn*, an integer *st*, and a character string *sc* such that the tuple with S# *s#*, SNAME *sn*, STATUS *st*, CITY *sc* appears in the suppliers relvar S.

Referential Actions

Example

- DELETE S WHERE S# = S# ('S1') ;
- Deletes supplier tuple for S1.
- Assume:
  - The database includes some shipments for S1
  - The application does not delete those shipments.
- Then the system raises an exception when it checks the referential constraint from shipments to suppliers.

Alternative approach possible.

Referential Actions

Example

Alternative Approach

- DELETE S WHERE S# = S# ('S1') ;
- Deletes supplier tuple for S1.
- Alternative approach: If the database includes some shipments for S1, delete those shipments as well.
- The effect achieved by extending the foreign key definition:

  VAR SP BASE RELATION { . . . } . . .
  FOREIGN KEY { S# } REFERENCES S
  ON DELETE CASCADE ;

- ON DELETE CASCADE defined a delete rule for the foreign key.
- CASCADE: referential action. The DELETE operation on S will cascade to delete matching tuples in the shipments relvar as well.

Triggers

- A trigger is a statement (in the query language) the DBMS executes automatically whenever a set of conditions becomes true.

Example

- Let LONDON_SUPPLIER be a view:
  CREATE VIEW LONDON_SUPPLIER
  AS SELECT S#, SNAME, STATUS
  FROM S
  WHERE CITY = 'London' ;
- When trying to insert a row in this view, a row will be inserted in the underlying base table S with the default value for CITY.
- If the default value is not 'London', the row will not appear in the view.

Triggers

Example (Cont.)

- Create a triggered procedure:

```
CREATE TRIGGER LONDON_SUPPLIER_INSERT
        INSTEAD OF INSERT ON LONDON_SUPPLIER
        REFERENCING NEW ROW AS R
        FOR EACH ROW
        INSERT INTO S ( S#, SNAME, STATUS, CITY )
              VALUES ( R.S#, R.SNAME, R.STATUS, 'London' );
```

Triggers

Example (Cont.)

- Create a triggered procedure:

```
CREATE TRIGGER LONDON_SUPPLIER_INSERT
        INSTEAD OF INSERT ON LONDON_SUPPLIER
        REFERENCING NEW ROW AS R
        FOR EACH ROW
        INSERT INTO S ( S#, SNAME, STATUS, CITY )
              VALUES ( R.S#, R.SNAME, R.STATUS, 'London' );
```

- Inserting a row in the view will cause a row to be inserted into the underlying base table with CITY value equal to London instead of the default value, and the new row will appear in the view.

Summary

- The integrity problem is the problem to ensure that the data is consistent.
- Integrity constraints take the general form:

  IF
  a certain tuple appears in certain relvars,
  THEN
  that tuple satisfies a certain condition.
- The relvar constraint (the relvar predicate) is the conjunction of all constraints for the relvar.
- The database constraint (the database predicate) is the conjunction of all the constraints for all relvars in the database.
- The Golden Rule:
  - No update operation must ever assign to any relvar R a value that causes the constraint for R to evaluate to FALSE.

Summary

- The integrity constraints represent the meaning of the data (semantics).
- Integrity constraints into four categories:
  - Type constraints.
  - Attribute constraints.
  - Relvar constraints.
  - Database constraints.
- Keys: candidate, primary, alternate, foreign.
- Candidate keys satisfy uniqueness and irreducibility properties.

Summary

- Referential constraint: Values of a given foreign key must match the values of the corresponding candidate key.
- Referential actions and triggers.