Information Systems Relational Databases

Nikolaj Popov

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria popov@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Outline

Functional Dependencies

Normalization

Functional Dependence

- Let r be a relation, and X and Y be arbitrary subsets of the set of attributes of r.
- Y is functionally dependent on X, written X → Y iff whenever two tuples of r agree on their X value, they also agree on their Y value.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Example Functional Dependence

SPC	S#	CITY	P#	QTY
	S1	London	P1	100
	S1	London	P2	100
	S2	Paris	P1	200
	S2	Paris	P2	200
	S3	Paris	P2	300
	S4	London	P2	400
	S4	London	P4	400
	S4	London	P5	400

FD's:

$$\{S\#\} \rightarrow \{CITY\}$$

 $\{S\#, P\#\} \rightarrow \{QTY\}$
 $\{S\#, P\#\} \rightarrow \{CITY\}$
 $\{S\#, P\#\} \rightarrow \{S\#\}$
 $\{S\#, P\#\} \rightarrow \{S\#, P\#, CITY, QTY\}$
 $\{S\#\} \rightarrow \{QTY\}$
 $\{QTY\} \rightarrow \{S\#\}$

・ロト・(四ト・(日下・(日下・))への)

- ► Trivial FD: Right side is a subset of the left side (e.g., {S#, P# } → { P#}).
- Trivial dependencies are not interesting in practice.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- A, B, C arbitrary subsets of the set of attributes of the given relvar R.
- Armstrong's axioms:
 - Reflexivity: If $B \subseteq A$, then $A \rightarrow B$.
 - Augmentation: If $A \rightarrow B$, then $A \cup C \rightarrow B \cup C$.
 - Transitivity: If $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow C$, then $A \rightarrow C$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Armstrong's axioms are sound and complete.

Additional rules (follow from Armstrong's axioms):

- Self-determination: $A \rightarrow A$.
- ▶ Decomposition: If $A \rightarrow B \cup C$, then $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$.
- Union: If $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$, then $A \rightarrow B \cup C$.
- Composition: If $A \rightarrow B$ and $C \rightarrow D$, then $A \cup C \rightarrow B \cup D$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Example

Homework – to complete it.

► Given: relvar *R* with attributes a, b, c, d, e, f and FD's: $\{a\} \rightarrow \{b, c\}, \{b\} \rightarrow \{e\}, \{c, d\} \rightarrow \{e, f\}.$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• Show: $\{a, d\} \rightarrow \{f\}$ holds for *R*.

▶ 1.
$$\{a\} \rightarrow \{b, c\}$$
 (given)
2. $\{a\} \rightarrow \{c\}$ (1, decomposition)
3.
4.
5.
6. $\{a, d\} \rightarrow \{f\}$

Normalization

Goals:

- Force better database design.
- Eliminate data redundancy.
- Make data retrieval more efficient.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Normalization

- A relation is in a normal form iff it satisfies conditions prescribed to the normal form.
- A relvar is normalized as long as its legal value is a normalized relation.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- In this course we consider three normal forms:
 - ► 1NF,
 - ► 2NF,
 - ► 3NF.

Normalization

- Normalization procedure: Successive reduction of a collection of relvars to some normal form.
- Normalization procedure is reversible: It is possible to map the output form of the procedure back to the input form.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Reversibility is important: No information is lost during normalization.
- Normalization process is nonloss or information-preserving.

- Normalization procedure involves decomposing a given relvar into other relvars.
- Decomposition is required to be reversible.
- The only decompositions we are interested in are nonloss.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

This concept is related with functional dependencies.

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions:

S	S#	STATUS	CITY
	S3	30	Paris
	S5	30	Athens

(a)	SST	S#	STATUS	SC	S#	CITY
		S3	30		S3	Paris
		S5	30		S5	Athens
(b)	SST	<u>с</u> н	OTATIO	070	OTATUO	
(2)	331	S#	STATUS	STC	STATUS	CITY
(0)	551	S# S3	30	SIC	30	CITY Paris

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions:

		S	S#	STA	TUS	C	VITY		
			S3	30		F	Paris		
			S5	30		Ath	nens		
<i>(</i>)	OOT	0.11	OT 1	TUO	0	~	0"		
(a)	SST	S#	STA	IUS	S	C	S#		CITY
		S3	30				S3		Paris
		S5	30				S5		Athens
(b)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	тс	STA	TUS	CITY
		S3	30				30		Paris
		S5	30				30		Athens

- In Case (a), no information is lost.
- SST and SC still say that S3 has status 30 and city Paris, and S5 has status and city Athens.
- Nonloss decomposition.

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions:

		S	S#	STA	TUS	C	VITY		
			S3	30		F	Paris		
			S5	30		Ath	nens		
<i>(</i>)	00T	• "	0.T.1		_	~	• "		
(a)	SST	S#	STA	ius	S	C	S#		CITY
		S3	30				S3		Paris
		S5	30				S5		Athens
(b)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	тс	STA	TUS	CITY
		S3	30				30		Paris
		S5	30				30		Athens

- In Case (b), information is lost.
- We can still say that both suppliers S3 and S5 have status 30, but cannot tell which supplier has which city.
- Lossy decomposition.

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions:

		S	S#	STA	TUS	C	CITY		
			S3	30		F	Paris		
			S5	30		Ath	nens		
		_				_			
(a)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	С	S#		CITY
		S3	30				S3		Paris
		S5	30				S5		Athens
							<u> </u>		
(b)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	тс	STA	TUS	CITY
		S3	30				30		Paris
		S5	30				30		Athens

- The process of decomposition is actually a process of projection.
- SST, SC, and STC are projections of S.
- ▶ In Case (a), if we join SST and SC back again, we obtain S.
- In Case (b), joining SST and STC does not give S.

 Reversibility means that the original relvar is equal to the join of its projections.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Hence, in normalization process:
 - Decomposition is projection
 - Recomposition is join.

- Assume:
 - R1 and R2 are both projections of some relvar R
 - R1 and R2 between them include all of the attributes of R
- Question:
 - What conditions must be satisfied to guarantee that joining R1 and R2 back together takes us back to the original R?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions. The (a) is nonloss:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

		S	S# S3 S5	STA 30 30	TUS	F	CITY Paris nens		
(a)	SST	S# S3 S5	STA 30 30	TUS	S	С	S# S3 S5		CITY Paris Athens
(b)	SST	S# S3 S5	STA 30 30	TUS	S	тс	STA 30 30	TUS	CITY Paris Athens

Relvar S and two corresponding decompositions. The (a) is nonloss:

		S	S#	STA	TUS	(TITY		
			S3	30		F	Paris		
			S5	30		Ath	nens		
		•			_	_			
(a)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	С	S#		CITY
		S3	30				S3		Paris
		S5	30				S5		Athens
(b)	SST	S#	STA	TUS	S	тс	STA	TUS	CITY
		S3	30				30		Paris
		S5	30				30		Athens

- Functional dependencies.
- ► S satisfies the irreducible set of FD's: { $S# \rightarrow STATUS, S# \rightarrow CITY$ }.
- It is not a coincidence that S is equal to the join of its projections {S#, STATUS}, {S#,CITY}.

Theorem (Heath)

Let $R{A,B,C}$ be a relvar, where A,B,C are sets of attributes. If R satisfies the FD $A \rightarrow B$, then R is equal to the join of its projections on $\{A,B\}$ and $\{A,C\}$.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Normal Forms

- First, we introduce an informal definition of 3NF, to give an idea where we are aiming at.
- Then consider the process of reducing of arbitrary relvar to an equivalent collection of 3NF's.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Normal Forms. 3NF. Informal Definition

Third Normal Form: A relvar is in 3NF iff the nonkey attributes (if any) are both

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Mutually independent and
- Irreducibly dependent on the primary key.

Normal Forms. 3NF. Informal Definition

- Third Normal Form: A relvar is in 3NF iff the nonkey attributes (if any) are both
 - Mutually independent and
 - Irreducibly dependent on the primary key.
- A nonkey attribute: Any attribute that does not participate in the primary key.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Normal Forms. 3NF. Informal Definition

- Third Normal Form: A relvar is in 3NF iff the nonkey attributes (if any) are both
 - Mutually independent and
 - Irreducibly dependent on the primary key.
- A nonkey attribute: Any attribute that does not participate in the primary key.
- Attributes are mutually independent if none of them is functionally dependent on any combination of the others. Such independence implies that each attribute can be updated independently of the others.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Normal Forms

Example

- The parts relvar P in the suppliers-and-parts database is in 3NF:
 - The attributes PNAME, COLOR, WEIGHT, and CITY are all independent of one another (it is possible, e.g. to change the color of a part without simultaneously changing its weight)
 - They are all irreducibly dependent on the primary key P#.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Normal Forms. 1NF

- First Normal Form:
 - A relvar is in 1NF iff in every legal value of that relvar, every tuple contains exactly one value for each attribute.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Normal F	orm	s. 1NF.	Examp	le	
FIRST	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY	<u>P#</u>	QTY
	S1	20	London	P1	300
	S1	20	London	P2	200
	S1	20	London	P3	400
	S1	20	London	P4	200
	S1	20	London	P5	100
	S1	20	London	P6	100
	S2	10	Paris	P1	300
	S2	10	Paris	P2	400
	S3	10	Paris	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P4	300
	S4	20	London	P5	400
	S5	30	Athens	P5	400

 Assume in the supplier-and-parts database S and SP are not split, but are lumped together in a single relvar (with some values slightly modified):
 FIRST { S#, STATUS, CITY, P#, QTY }
 PRIMARY KEY { S#, P# }

Normal F	orm	s. 1NF.	Examp	le	
FIRST	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY	<u>P#</u>	QTY
	S1	20	London	P1	300
	S1	20	London	P2	200
	S1	20	London	P3	400
	S1	20	London	P4	200
	S1	20	London	P5	100
	S1	20	London	P6	100
	S2	10	Paris	P1	300
	S2	10	Paris	P2	400
	S3	10	Paris	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P4	300
	S4	20	London	P5	400
	S5	30	Athens	P5	400

► Let CITY→STATUS be an additional constraint.

Normal F	orm	s. 1NF.	Examp	le	
FIRST	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY	P#	QTY
	S1	20	London	P1	300
	S1	20	London	P2	200
	S1	20	London	P3	400
	S1	20	London	P4	200
	S1	20	London	P5	100
	S1	20	London	P6	100
	S2	10	Paris	P1	300
	S2	10	Paris	P2	400
	S3	10	Paris	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P4	300
	S4	20	London	P5	400
	S5	30	Athens	P5	400

- FIRST is in 1NF, but not in 3NF. Both conditions are violated:
 - The nonkey attributes are not all mutually independent (STATUS depends on CITY).
 - They are not all irreducibly dependent on the primary key (STATUS and CITY each depend on S# alone). ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

Normal F	orm	s. 1NF.	Examp	le	
FIRST	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY	<u>P#</u>	QTY
	S1	20	London	P1	300
	S1	20	London	P2	200
	S1	20	London	P3	400
	S1	20	London	P4	200
	S1	20	London	P5	100
	S1	20	London	P6	100
	S2	10	Paris	P1	300
	S2	10	Paris	P2	400
	S3	10	Paris	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P2	200
	S4	20	London	P4	300
	S4	20	London	P5	400
	S5	30	Athens	P5	400

- FIRST contains redundancies:
 - Every tuple for S1 shows city as London.
 - Every tuple for London shows status as 20.
- Too much information bundled together. Bad behavior on delete.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Normal Forms

<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
S1	P1	300
S1	P2	200
S1	P3	400
S1	P4	200
S1	P5	100
S1	P6	100
S2	P1	300
S2	P2	400
S3	P2	200
S4	P2	200
S4	P4	300
S4	P5	400
S5	P5	400
	S1 S1 S1 S1 S1 S1 S1 S2 S2 S3 S4 S4 S4 S4	S1 P1 S1 P2 S1 P3 S1 P4 S1 P5 S1 P6 S2 P1 S2 P2 S3 P2 S4 P2 S4 P4 S4 P5

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

- The solution of the problems related to FIRST: Replace FIRST by the two relvars:
 - SP { S#, P#, QTY }
 - SECOND { S#, STATUS, CITY }

Normal Forms

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

 Decomposition of FIRST into SP and SECOND eliminates redundancies.

Normal Forms. 2NF

The definition assumes only one candidate key, which is the primary key.

- Second Normal Form: A relvar is in 2NF iff
 - it is in 1NF and
 - every nonkey attribute is irreducibly dependent on the primary key.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Normal Forms

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

SP and SECOND are both in 2NF.

Normal Forms

SP	<u>S#</u>	<u>P#</u>	QTY	
	S1	P1	300	
	S1	P2	200	
	S1	P3	400	
	S1	P4	200	
	S1	P5	100	
	S1	P6	100	
	S2	P1	300	
	S2	P2	400	
	S3	P2	200	
	S4	P2	200	
	S4	P4	300	
	S4	P5	400	
	S5	P5	400	

SECOND	S#	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

- A relvar that is in 1NF and not in 2NF can always be reduced to an equivalent collection of 2NF relvars.
- Reduction: Replace the 1NF relvar by suitable projections. The obtained collection is equivalent to the original relvar.

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

 SP and SECOND are projections of FIRST, and FIRST is the join of SECOND and SP over S#.

Normalization Procedure

- The first step in the normalization procedure: Take projections to eliminate "nonirreducible" functional dependencies.
- Given relvar R as follows R { A, B, C, D } PRIMARY KEY { A, B } /* assume A→D holds */
- Replace R by two projections R1 and R2:
 - R1 { A, D } PRIMARY KEY { A }

R2 { A, B, C } PRIMARY KEY { A, B } FOREIGN KEY { A } REFERENCES R1

 R can be recovered by taking the foreign-to-matching-primary-key join of R2 and R1.

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

- SP is satisfactory. It is in 3NF.
- SECOND suffers from a lack of mutual independence among its nonkey attributes

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

- Each S# value determines a CITY value, and each CITY value determines the STATUS value.
- STATUS transitively depends on S# via CITY.

SP	<u>S#</u>	P#	QTY
	S1	P1	300
	S1	P2	200
	S1	P3	400
	S1	P4	200
	S1	P5	100
	S1	P6	100
	S2	P1	300
	S2	P2	400
	S3	P2	200
	S4	P2	200
	S4	P4	300
	S4	P5	400
	S5	P5	400

SECOND	<u>S#</u>	STATUS	CITY
	S1	20	London
	S2	10	Paris
	S3	10	Paris
	S4	20	London
	S5	30	Athens

- The problem is "bundling" again. SECOND contains information regarding suppliers and regarding cities.
- Solution: "unbundle".

SC	<u>S#</u>	City	CS	City	STATUS
	S1	London		Athens	30
	S2	Paris		London	20
	S3	Paris		Paris	10
	S5	Athens			·J

- Replace SECOND by two projections:
 - ► SC { S#, CITY }
 - CS { CITY, STATUS}
- The effect is to eliminate the transitive dependence of STATUS on S#.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

The definition assumes only one candidate key, which is the primary key.

- Third Normal Form: A relvar is in 3NF iff
 - it is in 2NF and
 - every nonkey attribute is nontransitively dependent on the primary key.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

► No transitive dependencies imply no mutual dependencies.

SC	<u>S</u> #	City	CS	City	STATUS
	S1	London		Athens	30
	S2	Paris		London	20
	S3	Paris		Paris	10
	S5	Athens			J

- SC and CS are both in 3NF, with the primary keys {S#} and {CITY}, respectively.
- A relvar that is in 2NF but not in 3NF can be reduced to an equivalent collection of 3NF relvars.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

The reduction is reversible.

Normalization Procedure

- The second step in the normalization procedure: Take projections to eliminate transitive functional dependencies.
- Given relvar R as follows

```
R { A, B, C }
PRIMARY KEY { A }
/* assume B→C holds */
```

- Replace R by two projections R1 and R2: R1 { B, C } PRIMARY KEY { B } R2 { A, B } PRIMARY KEY { A }
 - FOREIGN KEY { B } REFERENCES R1
- R can be recovered by taking the foreign-to-matching-primary-key join of R2 and R1.

Remark

- There are also higher normal forms denoted by 4NF and 5NF (not treated in this lecture).
- The goal of database design is to reach 3NF.
- Too much normalization can also decrease system performance, therefore sometimes denormalization is applied after reaching the higher normal forms.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Summary

- Functional dependency: A many-to-one relationship between two sets of attributes of given relvar, satisfying a certain functionality condition.
- Trivial FD: Right side is a subset of the left side.
- Armstrong's axioms provide a sound and complete rules to compute the closure of a given set of FD's.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Summary

- First, second, and third normal forms have been discussed.
- A given relvar can always be brought to 3NF.
- The normalization process consists of replacing a given relvar by certain projections in such a way that joining those projections back together again gives us back the original relvar.
- It means that the process is reversible.
- Functional dependencies play a crucial role in the process.
- Heath's theorem says that if a certain FD is satisfied, then a certain decomposition is nonloss.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)